THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.14827 OF 2005

DATED:9.3.2006

Between:

Velakanni College of Education

Kandrika Village, Venkatachalam Mandal,

Nellore District, rep. by its

Secretary & Correspondent

Y.Chiranjeevi, S/o. Chinnaiah

…Petitioner

And

Government of Andhra Pradesh

Rep. by its Secretary,

Department of Education,

Andhra Pradesh, at Hyderabad

…Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.14827 OF 2005

ORDER:

Velakanni College of Education, Kandrika Village, Venkatachalam Mandal, Nellore District, filed the present Writ Petition *inter alia* seeking a direction to the respondents to forthwith allot students to the petitioner college in B.Ed., course for the academic year 2004-2005. While admitting the Writ Petition this Court passed orders on 8.7.2005 in W.P.M.P.No.18844 of 2005 in the following terms.

> Heard.
>
> The grievance of the petitioner is that the second is not proposing to allot students to the petitioner – college even though the petitioner had obtained necessary permissions including the permission from the NCTE.

According to the petitioner, the counselling is scheduled to commence from 5.7.2005 and if the students are not allotted, the petitioner would suffer irreparable loss. The only pretext on which the second respondent is proposing to deny the allotment of students is that the petitioner's name was not included in the list of the colleges enumerated in the Government's order issued in G.O.Ms.No.374. As the petitioner had obtained all the necessary permissions, there is no justification to deny the allotment of students.

At the time of hearing, it is also brought to the notice of this Court that under similar circumstances in W.P.M.P.No.7533 of 2005 in W.P.No.5692 of 2005, this Court directed the respondents to allot the students to the petitioner college in accordance with law not withstanding the fact that the petitioner's name was not included in the list of colleges in the Government Orders inG.O.Ms.No.48, dt.3.3.2005.

Under the above circumstances, the second respondent is directed to allot students to the petitioner college, as the petitioner has obtained necessary permissions including the one required from the NCTE.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in obedience to the above interlocutory orders, the third respondent has already allotted students to the petitioner college and that those students have already completed the course. In that view of the matter, no further orders are necessary in this Writ Petition.

The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of.

_____

9.3.2006 (V.V.S.RAO, J)

bnr